

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,774	11/17/2005	Bernard Roizman	27373/38819A	2653
4743 MARSHALL	7590 06/30/200 GERSTEIN & BORUN		EXAMINER	
233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606			MOSHER, MARY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
emerios, n	7 00000		1648	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/530,774
 ROIZMAN ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D.
 1648

interview Summary						
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D.	1648				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D.	(3)					
(2) <u>Marshall Byrd</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 6/24/08.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)☐ applicant's representative	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 35.						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In allowable subject matter, claim 35. reference to "the base claim and intervening claims" was a mistake since claim 35 was independent. Limitations similar to those in claim 1 would probably overcome the 1122" part of the relection of claim 35.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/Mary E Mosher, Ph.D./	240				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Primary Examiner, Art Unit 16 Examiner's signature, if requi					

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Us Peter and Tackment (v 0-403)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20080624